

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2

3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 RALPH R. PANIELLO, JR.,

No. C 13-04524 CW

6 Plaintiff,

ORDER DIRECTING
PARTIES TO INFORM
COURT WHETHER THEY
CONSENT TO A
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR
ALL PURPOSES

7 v.

8 CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

9 Defendant.
10 _____ /

11 In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the
12 parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a
13 magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final
14 judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b).

15 Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the
16 Court, no later than October 24, 2013, as to whether they consent
17 to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the
18 instant action.¹ For the parties' convenience, a consent form is
19 attached hereto; forms are also available at
20 <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The parties
21 are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a
22 specific magistrate judge.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: October 10, 2013


CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

25
26
27
28 ¹Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the
Court in their Joint Case Management Statement filed in connection
with a case management conference. Because the instant action
involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case
management conference has not been scheduled.